# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

### IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/937,696	09/28/2001		Wolfgang Schnell	SCHNELL-2	5099		
25889	7590	09/25/2002					
WILLIAM (			EXAM	EXAMINER			
COLLARD &	ERN BOU		PITTS, HAROLD I				
ROSLYN, NY	( 11576			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2876				
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Cummery	09/937646	(CH)	NECL	•			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	0.11	Group Art Unit				
	Mard	Kitts !	2876				
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	rrespondence ac	ldress			
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE			
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.</li> </ul>	within the statutory minimulation (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) on the mailing date	days will be considere	ed timely. on			
Status							
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				•			
☐ This action is FINAL.							
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935</li> </ul>			the merits is clos	sed in			
Disposition of Claims	•						
(2)—(8)	<u> </u>	is/are p	ending in the app	lication.			
	·						
☐ Claim(s)	<del></del>	is/are a	ıllowed.				
✓ Claim(s) / 0 -/ 8	is/are r	ejected.					
□ Claim(s)	is/are o	is/are objected to.					
☐ Claim(s)		are sub	oject to restriction ment.	or election			
Application Papers				•			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I	Review, PTO-948.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.							
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.	•	•	•			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		•					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>	• • •	• •					
□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)							
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the International	national Bureau (PCT R	lule 1 7.2(a)).	•				
*Certified copies not received:			·				
Attachment(s)				•			
Anformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(	s) 🗀 Ir	nterview Sumn	nary, PTO-413	•			
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	otice of Inform	nal Patent Applicat	tion, PTO-152				
$\square$ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other					
Office Action Summary							

Application/Control Number: 09/937,696 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

Rejections will be based on the following criteria the criteria for applicant and/or counsel is ordinary skill in the art, i.e., a knowledged of all prior art including the ability to read, comprehend and to point out the claimed invention compared to the prior art concepts. The applicant is considered to have the pertinent prior art before him during conception and reduction to practice of the invention in light of this prior art including drafting the specification and claims. The applicant is considered to be aware that to merely substitute or additionally employ one or more teachings of one or more of the references before him in a combinational sense would clearly be within the purview of obviousness, the motivation being the skilled artisan's recognition of the interchangeable teachings of similar systems and the expedient of a substitutive or an additive employment of one or more prior art system concepts to provide a particular solution or to bring about a desired result.

#### 35 USC 112 rejections:

- a. The disclosure, like the claims must point out the invention. A disclosure in which the lexicography is unclear. Vague, convoluted or incomplete does not comply with the statute.
- b. A disclosure which merely discusses prior at concepts without really setting a forth on independently arrived at enabling disclosure does not comply.
- c. Claims based on a disclosure as above or are vague, incomplete or merely expressions or desired results do not comply with the statute.
  - 35 USC 103 rejections and motivation.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,696 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

The criteria here is a skilled artisan who is looking first to the prior art for aid in the conception and reduction to practice phase of inventing and who is technologically skilled in the research of patent and other documentation and in the employment of prior art concepts in substitutive and additive combinations to address and implement a system, having collected and subjected the pertinent prior art (such as cited here in) and viewing the prior art technique of employing the desired inventive concepts in or more combinations to provide successfully similar solutions and which considered in combination address applicant's essential inventive concept, would find in such an addressing he "suggestion" or "suggestions" or "motivation" that the prior art concepts might be successfully employed in combination as set forth in applicant's claims.

35 USC 102 rejections;

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 USC 112 and 35 USC 102/103.

Claims tend to be vague expressions of desired results and as understood, are essentially taught by the IDS prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,696

Page 4

Art Unit: 2876

IN TUTO

Read each claim term by term on the drawing and argue over prior art of record into.

Pitts/ds

09/17/02

Harokt Pitts Primary Examiner